



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,151	01/30/2004	Nobuyuki Fujiwara	1339	9525
7590 09/29/2005		EXAMINER		
Mr. Loyal M. Hanson			HOLZEN, STEPHEN A	
Hanson Law Co	orporation		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 430			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER
Fallbrook, CA 92088-0430			3644	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/769,151	FUJIWARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Stephen A. Holzen	3644
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Sec 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-14 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,7 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-6,14 is/are objected to.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	i
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's amendments filed 9/14/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 8 and 13 have been fully considered and overcome the previous rejections. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Sepp (3,931,945).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sepp (3,931,945). Sepp discloses a rotating parachute having vanes (11), a circular band (10), and outer skirt band (17), inner suspension lines (16), suspension lines (15) that branch into a outer suspension line (15) and the inner suspension line (16), the suspension lines (15) converge to a swivel (14) that is a junction point for all the suspension lines, the suspension lines all have the same length.

Art Unit: 3644

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sepp (3,931,945) in view of Case (4,863,119). Sepp discloses every aspect of the present invention except a planar reefing device. Case teaches that it is well known in the art to use planar reefing devices with a parachute having multiple suspension lines to reduce the opening shock of the parachute. (see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to reduce opening shocks on the parachutist.
- 6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sepp (3,931,945) in view of Brownell (2001/0050323)

Sepp discloses a rotating parachute having vanes (11), a circular band (10), and outer skirt band (17), inner suspension lines (16), suspension lines (15) that branch into a outer suspension line (15) and the inner suspension line (16), the suspension lines (15) converge to a swivel (14) that is a junction point for all the suspension lines, the suspension lines all have the same length.

Art Unit: 3644

Sepp does not disclose lower and upper suspension lines made of different material. Brownell however does teach that it is known in the art to use suspension lines made of different materials. (see ¶0062). In the absence of any disclosed critically of using different materials, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use different materials for the upper and lower suspension lines since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416.

### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. The examiner asserts that H. T. Stevenson (2,770,432) also reads on claims 1 and 2, and could have been used in similar 102(b) and 103(a) type rejections.
- 9. The examiner asserts that Barish (4,844,384) could also read on at least claims 1 and 2 in a similar manner as Stevenson and Sepp.

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 8 and 9 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3644

11. Claims 4-6 and 14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance (and reasons for objecting to claims 4-6 and 14): the prior art does not disclose the vent band and vent suspension lines in combination with the suspension lines and circular band having branches forming two upper and one lower suspension line.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 571-272-6903. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,151

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Page 6

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sah

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY

PRIMARY EXAMINER